Involvement of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) in betel quid chewing related oral cancer

2019

Graduate School of Dentistry

Health Sciences University of Hokkaido

Shajedul Islam

SUMMARY

The habit of betel quid chewing is considered to be one of predominant risk factors for the induction of oral cancer, one of the leading malignancies in South Asian countries. Betel quid often consists of a mixture of betel leaf, areca nut, and slaked lime, with or without tobacco. Each component in betel quid may individually, synergistically, and coordinately participate in carcinogenesis. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms for the development of oral cancer remain unclear. Other environmental risk factors, such as tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption, often leading to oral cancer by inducing genetic and epigenetic modification. In addition to genetic modifications, DNA hypermethylation is one of most common epigenetic events observed in oral cancer. In fact, DNA hypermethylation of several tumor suppressor genes has been detected in precancerous lesions and oral cancers. Precancerous lesions with DNA hypermethylation followed by transcriptional downregulation of the tumor suppressor genes have a high risk of malignant transformation. However, few publications have identified DNA hypermethylation in betel quid-related oral cancer and precancerous lesions. Areca nut is one of the basic components in betel quid and contains several active chemical ingredients. One of major chemicals is arecoline and is considered to be the most significant procarcinogen present in betel quid. Previous studies have demonstrated that arecoline had a comprehensive effect on cellular gene expression and promote oral cancer. Arecoline may promote oral cancer by inducing transcriptional downregulation of the tumor suppressor genes and, that this downregulation is possibly induced by DNA hypermethylation. It is, however, the role of arecoline in DNA hypermethylation followed by downregulated transcriptional levels has not been clarified.

Sirtuins (SIRTs) are class III histone deacetylase family protein, comprising seven members, SIRT1-7. SIRT1 was the first family member to be discovered and is the most studied molecule. *SIRT1* is predominantly located in the nucleus, also in the cytosol and, targets both histone and non-histone cellular substrates. Dysregulation of *SIRT1* expression has previously described in many human malignancies including oral cancer. However, the physiological relevance of *SIRT1* in betel quid-related oral cancer remains unexplored. Betel quid-related oral cancer is often preceded by the development of precancerous lesions, characterized by the disruption of epithelial integrity and, consequently, the transformation to invasive cancer. *SIRT1* has been identified as playing a role in the maintenance of epithelial integrity and contributing to the prevention of both the invasion and metastasis potential of the oral epithelium. From these observations, we hypothesize that decreased *SIRT1* expression may occur in oral cancer induced by betel quid chewing habit. Since the downregulated expression of *SIRT1* has been attributed to DNA hypermethylation, we hypothesize that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* may be observed followed by its transcriptional downregulated expression in betel quid chewing oral cancer patients.

In the present study, we analyzed whether the hypermethylation of SIRT1 followed by its transcriptional downregulation in the human gingival epithelial cells could be caused by arecoline, a major component of betel quid. In addition, we investigated the methylation status of SIRT1 in smear samples of macroscopically healthy buccal mucosa from subjects with a habit of betel quid chewing. Furthermore, we examined the methylation status of SIRT1 in paraffin-embedded tissue samples of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) obtained from betel quid chewing and non-chewing patients and in tissues samples from healthy control subjects. Our in vitro model showed that, DNA hypermethylation is involved in SIRT1 transcriptional downregulation following chronic stimulation with arecoline. The habits of betel quid chewing and the duration of chewing years are positively correlated with DNA methylation frequency of the SIRT1 gene of oral epithelia. We also revealed that SIRT1 was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from betel quid chewers and non-chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects. Results also showed that hypermethylation of SIRT1 was significantly higher in OSCC from betel quid chewing patients than in that from non-chewing patients. Collectively, these results suggest that SIRT1 is involved in the oral cancer caused by betel quid chewing, and that hypermethylation of SIRT1 in the oral mucosa of betel quid chewers could be a predictive marker for detecting early events of multistage carcinogenesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | SUMMARY | i |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. | MATERIALS AND METHODS | |
| 1. | Ethics statement | 5 |
| 2. | Cell culture and arecoline exposure | 5 |
| | 1): Quantitative methylation-specific PCR | 5 |
| | 2): Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR | 6 |
| | 3): Western blotting analysis | 6 |
| 3. | Buccal smear samples and clinicopathological data collection | 7 |
| | 1): Quantitative methylation-specific PCR | 8 |
| 4. | Tumor specimen and tissues collection | 9 |
| | 1): Quantitative methylation-specific PCR | 9 |
| 5. | Statistical analysis | 9 |
| 3. | RESULTS | |
| 1. | The effects of arecoline on SIRT1 DNA methylation, mRNA expression, and protein | 11 |
| | production | |
| 2. | Subject characteristics and profiling of SIRT1 DNA methylation | 11 |
| 3. | The effects of betel quid chewing on SIRT1 DNA methylation status | 12 |
| 4. | The effects of chewing years on SIRT1 DNA methylation status | 12 |
| 5. | The effects of betel quid components on SIRT1 DNA methylation status | 12 |
| 6. | DNA methylation status of SIRT1 in oral squamous cell carcinoma obtained from | 13 |
| | betel quid chewing and non-chewing patients | |
| 4. | DISCUSSION | 14 |
| 5. | CONCLUSION | 18 |

| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | 19 |
|-----------------|----|
| REFERENCES | 20 |
| TABLES | 27 |
| FIGURES | 38 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer is the fifteenth most frequent and major cause of death from cancer around the world (Ferlay et al., 2015). The highest prevalence rate of oral cancer has been reported in South Asian countries, including India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh, where it is the third most common and fifth leading cause of cancer death, followed by breast, lung, stomach, and cervical cancer (Ferlay et al., 2015). The mean age of occurrence of this disease in different parts of the oral cavity ranges between 51 and 55 years or above (Lee *et al.*, 2011). Globally, this condition is more predominant in males than in females; in South Asian countries, it is the most common malignancy and third major cause of deaths in males, followed by lung and stomach cancer (Ferlay et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2011). The etiologies of oral cancer include betel quid chewing, smoking, alcohol consumption, genetic predisposition, and viruses, including human papillomavirus (HPV) (IARC, 2004; Chiba, 2001). The site of occurrence of this disease depends on region-specific epidemiological risk factors. In South Asian countries, the cheek (buccal mucosa) and gingiva are the leading sites of involvement, whereas, in western societies, the tongue is most commonly affected (Chiba, 2001). The high prevalence of oral cancer at characteristic sites in South Asian population may be attributed to the habit of BQ chewing, which is one of the main etiological factors for the development of this cancer and has been a significant threat to public health in these areas (IARC, 2004; Chiba et al., 1998; Chiba, 2001). The term 'quid' is defined as "a substance, or mixture of substances, placed in the mouth or chewed and remaining in contact with the mucosa, usually containing one or both of the two basic ingredients, tobacco and/or areca nut, in a raw or any manufactured or processed form" (Zain et al., 1999). Thus, BQ may be considered as any quid comprising of betel leaf and a combination of areca nut and slaked lime, with or without tobacco. The principal components of BQ and the prevalence of BQ chewing habits are shown in Figure 1 (Islam et al., 2019a). It has been described that different components of BQ act on the oral epithelium and induces generic and epigenetic alterations. Subsequently, these alterations lead to the initiation and promotion of oral carcinogenesis in the oral mucosa (Chiba, 2001; Islam et al., 2019a). However, the roles of the components of BQ in oral carcinogenesis remain unclear.

Areca nut is one of the basic ingredients wrapped in a betel leaf along with the other components of the BQ. The chemical composition of areca nut varies, and may include carbohydrates, fats, proteins, fiber, polyphenols, tannins, alkaloids, and various trace elements as the major constituents (Cox et al., 2004; Warnakulasuriya, 2002). Alkaloids, polyphenols, and tannins may be responsible for the areca nut-associated effects on oral mucosa. The major areca nut alkaloids are arecoline, arecaidine, guvacaine, and guvacoline, wherein, arecoline is the most abundant alkaloid and considered to be the most important procarcinogen present in areca nut (Cox et al., 2004; Warnakulasuriya, 2002). Arecoline undergoes a nitrosation reaction and gives rise to a variety of BQ-specific nitrosamines (BSNAs) within the acidic environment of the oral cavity and stomach. The formation of these nitrosamines induces oxidative stress by interacting with DNA, proteins or other macromolecules, and contributes to carcinogenesis in the oral mucosa, including epithelium and submucosal connective tissues (Islam et al., 2019a). However, the mechanisms by which nitrosamines interact with DNA or other macromolecules and exert their carcinogenic activities remain unclear. Arecoline, the main alkaloid present in the areca nut, induces chromatin relaxation by inhibiting poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), a family of proteins involved in DNA repair and maintenance of genomic stability (Saikia et al., 1999). The relaxation of the chromatin structure by arecoline allows for the interaction of nitrosamines with DNA resulting in the formation of adducts. The formation of these DNA adducts may induce genomic alterations and the epigenetic silencing of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) (Saikia et al., 1999; Islam et al., 2019a). It is, however, the role of arecoline in DNA hypermethylation followed by downregulated transcriptional levels of TSGs has not been clarified.

DNA methylation is one of the several epigenetic mechanisms that cells use to control gene expression (Abiko *et al.*, 2014). DNA methylation is an enzymatically catalyzed covalent modification of DNA, occurring typically in the context of Cytosine-Guanine (CpG) islands. The epigenetic alteration most studied in the human cancer cell is DNA methylation. The number of genes with aberrant methylation in the cancer cell is still unknown, but it is estimated that approximately 5% (approximately 1,500–2,000) of the human genome can be aberrantly methylated in a cancer cell (Bird, 1986; Esteller, 2007, 2008). Typically, there is hypermethylation of TSGs and hypomethylation of oncogenes. The silencing of TSGs

through hypermethylation appears to be especially important in progression to cancer, whereas hypomethylation is linked to chromosomal instability and loss of imprinting (Baylin *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, aberrant DNA methylation without the presence of genetic alterations is now widely recognized as either a causative or correlative event in carcinogenesis (Esteller, 2007, 2008). Environmental risk factors, for instance, tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking, and BQ chewing often promote oral cancer by inducing genetic and epigenetic alterations (IARC, 2004; Petti *et al.*, 2008). Both genetic and epigenetic factors often work together in affecting multiple cellular pathways in cell-cycle regulation, DNA repair, apoptosis, cell-to-cell adhesion and carcinogen metabolism which leads to tumor progression (Bailoor *et al.*, 2015). In fact, it is well-documented that precancerous oral lesions with DNA hypermethylation followed by the transcriptional downregulation of TSGs have a high risk of malignant transformation (Shridhar *et al.*, 2016; Takuma *et al.*, 2010). Hence, hypermethylation on oral epithelium can be one of the valuable markers for prediction of malignant potential of the lesions.

The sirtuins (SIRTs) family protein are class III histone deacetylases (HDACs), comprised of seven members, SIRT1-7. SIRTs are widely expressed in normal tissues and involved in several biological processes (Carafa *et al.*, 2016; Sebastian *et al.*, 2012; Islam *et al.*, 2019b). *SIRT1* was the first family member to be discovered and is the most studied molecule. The functional activity of *SIRT1* is dependent on availability of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺). High levels of NAD+ induce *SIRT1* activity, whereas high NADH levels inhibit its function (Wang *et al.*, 2008). *SIRT1* is predominantly located in the nucleus and, also in the cytosol (Carafa *et al.*, 2016). Due to *SIRT1* localization, it is capable of deacetylating lysine residues on both histone and non-histone proteins, which is thought to affect their stability, transcriptional activity, and translocation (Wang *et al.*, 2008). Deacetylation of histones by *SIRT1* has been shown to induce chromatin condensation, whereas acetylation by histone acetyltransferases (HATs) causes chromatin decondensation (Wang *et al.*, 2008). This balance is crucial for normal cellular functions, and any disturbance of it will be related to cancer (Gray *et al.*, 2001). *SIRT1*-mediated deacetylation of non-histone proteins has been suggested to be more important in cancer than histones (Carafa *et al.*, 2016; Sebastian *et al.*, 2012). However, in tumor biology, *SIRT1* seems to play contradictory roles and dysregulation of *SIRT1* expression has frequently been reported in many

human malignant diseases including oral cancer (Islam *et al.*, 2019b). Although, it remains controversial whether *SIRT1* acts as a tumor suppressor or promoter in oral cancer and, preclinical data are inconclusive to address such debate.

BQ-related oral cancer is often preceded by the development of precancerous lesions, characterized by disruption of epithelial integrity and consequently, transforming to invasive cancer. Interestingly, it was reported that stable expression of *SIRT1* aids in maintaining epithelial integrity, and this contributes to the prevention of both invasion and metastasis. However, the involvement of *SIRT1* in BQ-related oral cancer has not been clarified. These observations suggest that decreased *SIRT1* expression may occur in oral cancer induced by BQ chewing habit. Since the downregulated expression of *SIRT1* has been attributed to DNA hypermethylation, we hypothesize that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* may be observed followed by its transcriptional downregulated expression in BQ chewing oral cancer patients.

In the present study, in order to characterize the association between chronic arecoline stimulation and carcinogenesis, we investigated whether the hypermethylation of *SIRT1* followed by its transcriptional downregulation in the human gingival epithelial cells could be caused by arecoline. In addition, we examined the methylation status of *SIRT1* in smear samples of macroscopically healthy buccal mucosa from subjects with a habit of BQ chewing. Furthermore, we analyzed the methylation status of *SIRT1* in paraffin-embedded tissue samples of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) obtained from BQ chewing and non-chewing patients and in tissues samples from healthy control subjects for clarifying the role of *SIRT1* in carcinogenesis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Ethics statement

All participants in the study provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Ethics Committee on Human Genetic Research at the Health Sciences University of Hokkaido, Japan (Number# 2016-025) and the Ethical Committee at the University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka (Number # 7/2004).

2. Cell culture and arecoline exposure

Human gingival epithelial progenitors (HGEPs) cells, primary keratinocytes derived from healthy gingival epithelium, were purchased from CELLnTEC Advanced Cell Systems (Basel, Switzerland) and cultured in CnT-Prime epithelial culture medium (CELLnTEC Advanced Cell Systems) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. HGEPs cells were spread onto 100 mm tissue culture plates at a density of 4.0×10^4 cells/mL. Arecoline (arecoline hydrobromide) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Following overnight incubation, the HGEPs cells were treated with arecoline at a concentration of 50 µg/mL. The concentration of arecoline used in this study was as described in previous experiments (Uehara *et al.*, 2017). Briefly, arecoline at the concentration of 50 µg/mL had no cytotoxic effect on the cells stimulated, even for a prolonged period, the method of alternating between 3 days with 50 µg/mL of arecoline and 3 days without arecoline for 1 month was selected. The untreated samples were used as controls. The flow chart of cell-cultured is briefly shown in Figure 2.

1): Quantitative methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction

Genomic DNA was extracted from the HGEPs cells using DNeasy[®] Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA samples were treated with sodium bisulfite using the EpiTect[®] Plus Bisulfite Kits (Qiagen). DNA methylation of the *SIRT1* gene was analyzed using SYBR green-based quantitative methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (qMS-PCR) after checking the existence of CpG islands around the promoter region by UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html) (Fig. 3). Two sets of primers were used; one for methylated and one

for unmethylated DNA sequences (Oliveira *et al.*, 2014). The primers used for *SIRT1* gene were shown in Table 1. For PCR, the bisulfite-treated DNA template was mixed with KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit and a pair of primers. The PCR conditions included initial incubation at 50 °C for 2 min, denaturing at 95 °C for 10 min, and 50 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing at 58 °C for 1 min. After PCR amplification, a dissociation curve was generated to confirm the size of the PCR product. The percentage of DNA methylation in a sample was estimated using the following formula:

Methylated DNA (%) =
$$\frac{M}{M+U} \ge 100 = \frac{1}{1+\frac{U}{M}} \ge 100 = \frac{1}{1+2^{(-\Delta Ct)}} \ge 100,$$

where M is the copy number of methylated DNA, U is the copy number of unmethylated DNA, and $\Delta Ct = Ct_U - Ct_M$ (Katsaros *et al.*, 2007). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

2): Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was extracted from the HGEPs cells using the RNeasy[®] Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a ReverTra Ace[®] qPCR RT Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). The cDNA levels were measured using the LightCycler[®] Nano System (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The primers used for *SIRT1* gene expression analysis were shown in Table 2 (Li *et al.*, 2016; Murray *et al.*, 2013). For real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), cDNA was mixed with KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (Nippon Genetics, Tokyo, Japan) and a pair of primers. The PCR conditions included initial incubation at 50 °C for 2 min, denaturing at 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing at 60 °C for 1 min. The relative expression of each mRNA was calculated as the Ct (the value obtained by subtracting the Ct value of the GAPDH mRNA from the Ct value of the target mRNA) using the $\Delta\Delta$ Cq method (Livak *et al.*, 2001). Specifically, the amount of target mRNA relative to GAPDH mRNA is expressed as 2^{-(ACi)}. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (SE) of the ratio of the target mRNA to GAPDH mRNA.

3): Western blotting analysis

Proteins were extracted from the HGEPs cells using lysis buffer [50 mM Tris HCL, pH 7.5; 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.5; 165 mM NaCl; 10 mM NaF; 1 % Nonidet P-40; 1 mM PMSF; 1 mM NaVO₃; 10 μ g/mL leupeptin; and 10 μ g/mL aprotinin]. The lysis reaction was carried out for 1 h at 4°C. The samples were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatant was used as sample. Protein concentration quantified by Lowry's protein assay. Fifteen micrograms of protein samples were used for western blotting analysis. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was carried out in pre-cast gels (4-20% gradient of polyacrylamide; Mini-PROTEAN TGX Gels; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). After electrophoresis, gels were transferred electrophoretically onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Immobilon-P; Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and blocked for 1 h with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 5% skimmed milk. Blocked membranes were washed twice with TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 solution.

The primary antibodies used were: mouse monoclonal antibody against SIRT1 (dilution 1:1000, #ab110304; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and rabbit monoclonal antibody against GAPDH (dilution 1:5000, #CST5174; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts, USA). Membranes were incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4°C, washed three times with TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 solution, and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (dilution 1:10,000; Jackson Immuno-Research Laboratories Inc, West Grove, PA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Bands of SIRT1 and GAPDH were visualized by the enhanced chemiluminescence system (Clarity Max[™] Western ECL Substrate; Bio-Rad) and LuminoGraph I (ATTO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and recorded using ImageSaver6 software (ATTO Corporation). Expression levels of SIRT1 and GAPDH in cells treated with or without arecoline were quantified by analyzing the intensity of each band using CS Analyzer4 software (ATTO Corporation). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean ± SE of the ratio of the target protein to GAPDH protein.

3. Buccal smear samples and clinicopathological data collection

Prior to sample collection, the nature of the study was fully explained to all participants. Information obtained from the interview included socioeconomic and demographic characteristics, personal and family

histories, risk factors for oral cancer, such as lifestyle, alcohol drinking, tobacco smoking, and BQ chewing including its frequency, and the added use of betel leaf, areca nut, slaked lime, and tobacco. Clinic attendees of at least 20 years of age and with the ability to complete the questionnaires by an interview and clinical oral examinations were eligible to participate. The presence of oral mucosal lesions was evaluated and documented by a registered dentist, based on the recommendations of the World Health Organization (Kramer *et al.*, 1978). Participants having oral mucosal lesions or any systemic disorders (such as diabetes, immune-compromised, or genetic diseases) were excluded from this study. A convenience sample of 70 study subjects was recruited and classified into two groups: controls (45 healthy, non-chewers) and BQ chewers (25 healthy, chewers). Both the controls and BQ chewer groups were non-smokers and non-drinkers. Subjects who had chewed one BQ per day for at least 6 months were considered as chewers. The brief description of the study subject, study design, and sample collection were shown in Figure 4.

For oral cancer screenings, samples for biomarker testing should be easily available. Thus, the present study used samples obtained by buccal smear, which is noninvasive and easy to perform and which may assist in screening for oral cancers, particularly in areas with limited resources. Buccal smear samples were collected by research staff accordingly manufacturer instructions (Qiagen). Briefly, the participants were restricted in eating and drinking for 30 minutes prior to collection, and it was verified that the participant's mouth was empty. The swab sticks were removed from the package carefully to avoid contaminating the tip of the swab with gloves or against any surface. The swab was firmly rubbed and rotated the swab along the inside of the cheek for 5-10 times and, to ensure that the entire tip was in contact with the cheek, this step was repeated on the other cheek. The swab stick was removed from the mouth, being careful not to touch swab tips with any other surface such as teeth, lips, or other surfaces. The swab was placed directly into the tube containing the DNA stabilizing reagent Gentra[®] Puregene[®] buccal cell kits (Qiagen), and the tube was labeled with identifying information. Samples were stored at minus 20 degrees Celsius until shipment on ice to HSUH for testing.

1): Quantitative methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction

Genomic DNA was extracted from the buccal smear samples using Gentra[®] Puregene[®] buccal cell kits (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA samples were treated with sodium bisulfite using the EpiTect[®] Plus Bisulfite Kits (Qiagen) and DNA methylation of the *SIRT1* gene was performed using the methods described previously (Katsaros *et al.*, 2007). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD of the DNA methylation.

4. Tumor specimen and tissue collection

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and normal oral mucosa tissue samples were obtained from patients treated surgically. Twelve OSCC tissue samples were obtained from patients with BQ chewing habit in Sri Lanka. Twenty-two OSCC tissue samples were obtained from Japanese patients without BQ chewing habits, and 13 normal oral mucosae were obtained from individuals who underwent oral surgical intervention from 2008 to 2014 at the Health Sciences University of Hokkaido (HSUH) Hospital. The postsurgical tissue sections were formalin-fixed, processed, and paraffin-embedded following standard protocols. None of these patients received chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to tumor resection. Data on patient demographics were retrieved from the archives of the Oral Medicine and Pathology Department at HSUH, Japan. The study design and sample collection are briefly shown in Figure 5.

1): Quantitative methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction

Genomic DNA was extracted from the tissue samples using DNeasy[®] Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands), following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA samples were treated with sodium bisulfite using the EpiTect[®] Plus Bisulfite Kits (Qiagen) and DNA methylation of the *SIRT1* gene was performed using the method described previously (Katsaros *et al.*, 2007). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean \pm SE of the DNA methylation.

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed on a database using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY). Comparisons between two groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Multiple variable comparisons were performed by using multivariable regression analysis. Results with p-values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3. **RESULTS**

1: The effects of arecoline on SIRT1 DNA methylation, mRNA expression, and protein production

In order to confirm whether the hypermethylation of SIRT1 caused by BQ chewing downregulates the transcriptional level of SIRT1 in HGEPs cells, HGEPs cells were stimulated with arecoline, a major component of BQ. The methylation level of SIRT1 in cells treated with arecoline at a concentration of $50 \,\mu g/mL$ was significantly increased compared to that of control cells (Fig. 6a). The expression levels of SIRT1 mRNA in the cells treated with arecoline were significantly decreased compared to the control group (Fig. 6b). To determine whether the mRNA expression levels of SIRT1 relates to its protein production, further analysis was performed using western blotting to investigate the effects of arecoline on SIRT1 protein production. The protein levels of SIRT1 (bands of 120 kDa) was reduced by arecoline treatment in HGEPs cells compared to that in controls. On the other hand, the protein levels of GAPDH (bands of 37 kDa) was the same in all cells (Fig. 7a). The ratio of intensities of SIRT1 to GAPDH (SIRT1/GAPDH) in controls was considered to be 100%. The ratio of intensities of SIRT1/GAPDH in control and arecoline HGEPs cells were $100\pm16.2\%$ and $40.1\pm3.3\%$, respectively. SIRT1 protein levels were reduced by arecoline in HGEPs cells (Fig. 7b). These results indicate that SIRT1 mRNA transcription is suppressed by arecoline, resulting in decreased protein production in HGEPs. Together, these results demonstrate that DNA hypermethylation is involved in SIRT1 transcriptional downregulation in HGEPs cells following chronic stimulation with arecoline.

2: Subject characteristics and profiling of SIRT1 DNA methylation

A total of 70 adult participants were enrolled in the current study, including 14 males and 56 females. Based on the history of oral habits, the participants were classified into two groups: controls (45; healthy, BQ non-chewers) and BQ chewers (25; healthy, chewers). The mean age of controls and BQ chewers were 35.5 ± 13.6 years and 39.0 ± 11.3 years, respectively. The mean chewing years in the BQ chewers group was 7.3 ± 10.3 years. Of the 25 BQ chewers, 5 (24%) has used BQ for 6 months or more, 14 (56%) chewed for 1-10 years, and 5 (20%) has used BQ for more than 10 years. The mean DNA methylation level of *SIRT1* in controls and BQ chewers were 4.0 ± 4.6 and 16.5 ± 23.7 , respectively. Detailed demographics of controls and BQ chewer groups are summarized in Table 3.

3: The effects of betel quid chewing on SIRT1 DNA methylation status

In this study, we investigated possible correlations between BQ chewing habits and the DNA methylation status of the *SIRT1* promoter region in oral mucosal epithelium. Multivariable regression analysis was conducted using DNA methylation as a dependent variable, and age, sex, and BQ chewing habit as independent variables. The results showed that BQ chewing habit was the only significant predictor of *SIRT1* DNA methylation level (Table 4; Fig. 8 A). This result suggests that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* is observed in macroscopically healthy BQ chewers epithelium before their clinical changes.

4: The effects of betel quid components on SIRT1 DNA methylation status

A total of 25 participants were enrolled in the BQ chewers group, including 5 (20%) males and 20 (80%) females. Of the 25 BQ chewers, 7 (18%) have used BQ in the combination of betel leaf and areca nut, and 18 (72%) chewed BQ containing betel leaf, areca nut, and slaked lime. Based on the history of BQ components, the participants were classified into two groups: group 1 (7; betel leaf and areca nut) and group 2 (18; betel leaf, areca nut, and slaked lime). The detail demographics description was shown in Table 5.

We investigated the possible correlation of BQ components and the DNA methylation level of *SIRT1* in smear samples of BQ chewers. Multivariable regression analysis was conducted using DNA methylation as a dependent variable, and groups (group 1 and group 2) as independent variables. The results showed that no significant differences in *SIRT1* DNA methylation levels were observed among groups and the frequency of quid chewing habits (Table 6). Together, these data suggest that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* could be caused by synergistic effects of BQ components onto the oral epithelium.

5: The effects of chewing years on SIRT1 DNA methylation status

Further, among the BQ chewers, we investigated the possible correlation of *SIRT1* DNA methylation level and chewing years. The mean chewing years were 7.3±10.3 years. Multivariable regression analysis was

conducted using DNA methylation as a dependent variable, and age, sex, and chewing years as independent variables. It was revealed that the duration of chewing habit was only significantly correlated to the levels of *SIRT1* DNA methylation (Table 7; Fig. 8 B). These results demonstrate that BQ chewing and the duration of BQ chewing habits are positively correlated with DNA methylation frequency of the *SIRT1* gene of oral epithelia (Fig. 8 A-B).

6: DNA methylation status of *SIRT1* in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) obtained from BQ chewing and non-chewing patients

We examined the promoter methylation status of SIRT1 in OSCC tissue samples obtained from BQ chewing and non-chewing patients, and oral mucosa samples from healthy control subjects. The demographic data of the participants are listed in Table 8. Multivariable regression analysis was conducted using DNA methylation as a dependent variable, and age, sex, groups (analysis I, BQ chewers vs healthy controls; analysis II, BQ non-chewers vs healthy controls; analysis III, BQ chewers vs BQ non-chewers) as independent variables. Analysis I investigates BQ chewing associated with OSCC, while analysis II investigates BQ non-chewing with OSCC. Analysis III investigates BQ chewing and non-chewing associated with OSCC. Analysis I revealed that SIRT1 was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from BQ chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects (Table 9; Fig. 9 A). Analysis II showed that SIRT1 was also significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from BQ non-chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects (Table 10; Fig. 9 B). Analysis III explored that SIRT1 was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from BQ chewers than in that of OSCC of BQ non-chewers (Table 11; Fig. 9 C). Collectively, these results showed that SIRT1 was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from BQ chewers and BQ non-chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects. Results also showed that hypermethylation of SIRT1 was significantly higher in OSCC from BQ chewing patients than in that from BQ non-chewing patients (Fig. 9 A-C).

4. **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, our *in vitro* model showed that the hypermethylation is followed by downregulation of the transcriptional level of *SIRT1*. A higher level of methylation of *SIRT1* was observed in smear samples obtained from macroscopically healthy buccal mucosa in BQ chewers than in non-chewers. Results also demonstrated DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* in OSCC, and the methylation levels were significantly higher in the OSCC of BQ chewers than in that of non-chewers. These results suggest that *SIRT1* is involved in the oral cancer caused by BQ chewing, and that hypermethylation of *SIRT1* in the oral mucosa of BQ chewers could be a predictive marker for detecting early events of multistage carcinogenesis.

Although hypermethylation of SIRT1 has been reported in several cancer tissues (Frazzi et al., 2016, 2017; Lisbosa et al., 2011), the hypermethylation of SIRT1 in OSCC has not been demonstrated. We confirmed the occurrence of SIRT1 hypermethylation in OSCC of BQ chewers and non-chewers. We also found that the hypermethylation level of SIRT1 was significantly higher in OSCC of patients with BQ chewing habits than in those of non-chewing habits. These results indicate that the DNA hypermethylation of SIRT1 caused by BQ chewing is involved in BQ-related OSCC. It was not known whether the hypermethylation of SIRT1 caused by BQ chewing is linked to SIRT1 transcription. The extraction of RNA from paraffin-embedded tissue samples remains extremely challenging, and no consensus or standardized isolation method has been described (Bohmann et al., 2009). Therefore, we employed an in vitro model of a daily BQ chewing habit that we showed previously to contain hypermethylated genes (Takai et al., 2016). The cells were stimulated with arecoline, a major component of BQ, for a prolonged period according to our previous protocol (Uehara et al., 2017). We confirmed that significantly high level of methylation of SIRT1 was observed followed by downregulated expression of SIRT1 transcription and protein expression. This hypermethylation of SIRT1 may cause the downregulated expression of SIRT1 observed in OSCC. These results support previous findings suggesting SIRT1 as a tumor suppressor (Chen et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2018). SIRTI has been reported to play a role in maintaining epithelial integrity by inducing the expression of epithelial-cadherin. Downregulation of SIRT1 expression may weaken epithelial-epithelial interaction leading to malignant transformation of the epithelia (Chen et al., 2014;

Kang et al., 2018). The hypermethylation of SIRT1 caused by arecoline in BQ chewers epithelium may be related to the instability of epithelial-epithelial interactions causing malignant transformation. It is still unknown how arecoline causes the hypermethylation of SIRT1. The promoter region of SIRT1 possesses a potential regulator of epigenetic factors, methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) (Volkmann et al., 2013). MeCP2 has been shown to interact with DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) and recruits the latter to induces SIRT1 promoter methylation (Volkmann et al., 2013). Arecoline was previously documented to promote oral submucosal fibrosis and the progression to oral cancer through pathways involved in transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) production (Khan *et al.*, 2012; Volkmann *et al.*, 2013). TGF- β is likely to silence SIRT1 epigenetically by inducing the MeCP2 expression, although other possible mechanisms cannot be ruled out (Volkmann *et al.*, 2013). TGF- β has shown to decrease miR-30a-3p expression, a negative regulator for MeCP2. Where overexpression of MeCP2 affects SIRT1 promoter methylation and subsequent epigenetic silencing (Volkmann et al., 2013). The formation of nitrosamines in BQ chewing epithelium supposed to be one of causative factor for DNA hypermethylation followed by epigenetic silencing (Saikia et al., 1999). Arecoline induces the formation of nitrosamines inside the oral mucosa of BQ chewers (Sundqvist et al., 1991). In fact, it is well-documented that the nitrosation of arecoline at neutral pH yielded approximately four times more areca nut specific nitrosamines than at acidic or alkaline pH (Nair et al., 2004). These nitrosamines could be related to downregulated transcriptional levels of SIRT1, as well as, other TSGs that were often observed in oral cancer of BQ chewing. Further studies are warranted to clarify this hypothesis.

From these data, we hypothesized that the methylation level of *SIRT1* in healthy oral epithelium of BQ chewing subjects is higher than that of non-chewing subjects. We showed that the methylation level of *SIRT1* in smear samples obtained from macroscopically healthy buccal mucosa of BQ chewers is significantly higher than that in the samples of BQ non-chewers. In addition, the duration of chewing habits was correlated positively to the frequency of *SIRT1* hypermethylation. This observation may support the previous paper that showed increasing the years of quid chewing habits were positively associated with oral cancer (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Guha *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2017), wherein *SIRT1* hypermethylation may play an important role in the process of their development. The possible

involvement of *SIRT1* in BQ-related oral cancer may explain by its inhibitory effects on TGF- β pathway (Chen *et al.*, 2014). *SIRT1* shows negatively regulate TGF- β pathway and its associated downstream molecules (Chen *et al.*, 2014). These findings may explain the reasons why TGF- β and its associated downstream molecules are overexpressed in BQ-related oral cancer compared to BQ non-chewing oral cancers (Khan *et al.*, 2012; Meng *et al.*, 2011; Pant *et al.*, 2016; Xiao *et al.*, 2008). Wherein arecoline caused *SIRT1* downregulation, and that this downregulated expression failed to prevent TGF- β -induced malignant transformation in oral epithelium of BQ chewers. Collectively, these findings indicate that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* caused by the habits BQ chewing is involved in malignant transformation potential of oral epithelium.

Although, previously published reports confirmed DNA hypermethylation in precancerous lesions and oral cancer with the habits of BQ chewing (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2017; González-Ramírez *et al.*, 2011; Huang *et al.*, 2013; Kaur *et al.*, 2010; Shridhar *et al.*, 2016; Takuma *et al.*, 2010). It is, however, no studies have shown alteration of DNA methylation in the macroscopically healthy epithelium of BQ chewers. To be the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that showed DNA hypermethylation in clinical healthy oral epithelium of BQ chewers. This result indicates that DNA hypermethylation may be caused by some carcinogens as an early event of carcinogenesis before their clinical changes. Therefore, examination of *SIRT1* hypermethylation, as well as, other TSGs in smears of buccal mucosa could be useful for the detection of early changes caused by BQ chewing habits.

Samplings from buccal mucosa and saliva are the two most common non-invasive methods for genetic, epigenetic, and proteomic studies (Theda *et al.*, 2018). However, salivary ribonucleases rapidly degrade epithelial cell RNA during collection, and usable RNA has not been extracted from scrapings of buccal mucosa (Ceder *et al.*, 1985). Therefore, DNA methylation analysis using buccal smear samples may be used as a molecular screen for oral cancer, particularly in areas with limited resources. A few previous studies investigated interactions between BQ chewing habits and DNA methylation using smear samples from buccal OSCC (Huang *et al.*, 2013; Yang *et al.*, 2018). Those studies analyzed DNA methylation levels with participants having combined habits of BQ chewing, tobacco smoking, and alcohol drinking (Huang *et al.*, 2013; Yang *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, those studies might not reflect on the process of

carcinogenesis induced by habit of BQ chewing. Our study is the first report that shows increased levels of DNA methylation in healthy buccal mucosa samples obtained from BQ chewers. Cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption are other risk factors for oral cancer (Lee *et al.*, 2013). Those habits also cause alteration of DNA methylation (Lee *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2017). In fact, previous studies demonstrate clear evidence that development of oral cancer follows the same biological pathways irrespective of the source of carcinogenic exposure (Dysvik *et al.*, 2006; Lunde *et al.*, 2010). The hypermethylation of *SIRT1* may be a target for the prediction of oral carcinogenesis caused by those habits, as well as BQ chewing. Further investigations are needed to examine this hypothesis.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our data demonstrated for the first time that DNA hypermethylation of *SIRT1* occurs in OSCC and normal oral mucosa obtained from BQ chewers and that the methylation status in buccal smear samples might be considered as an applicable routine oral screening procedure in high-risk populations, particularly in relation to BQ induced oral cancers. Further studies will, therefore, be necessary to confirm our findings, which might lead to a better understanding of the molecular basis of oral carcinogenesis induced by various environmental exposures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Itsuo Chiba, for offering the Ph.D. position at the Division of Disease Control and Molecular Epidemiology, and for continuous support in my study and research. His guidance and supervision conveyed a spirit of adventure and excitement making complex things simpler for me without which this thesis would not have been possible.

I would like to thank my very special supervisor, Professor Yoshihiro Abiko, for his never-failing professional guidance and invaluable input and for steering me in the right direction from the very start to the end of my research. I appreciate that he always took the time to communicate with me at all times irrespective of your very busy schedule. You have inspired me and enriched me as a postgraduate student in many ways.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my instructor, Assistant Professor Osamu Uehara, for his continuous support of my Ph.D. study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all phases of this research and the writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined a better instructor and mentor for my Ph.D. study.

I am immensely thankful to Professor Yasuhiro Kuramitsu, and Associate Professor Hirofumi Matsuoka. Their valuable criticisms, positive attitudes, great patience, and friendly guidance helped me to develop clarity to my ideas and better writing skills which certainly would lead me to become a better science person.

I would also like to acknowledge my fellow Ph.D. students in the Division of Disease Control and Molecular Epidemiology, for stimulating discussions and for all the fun we had during my Ph.D.

Lastly, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my parents, to whom my every achievement brings happiness.

REFERENCES

- Abiko Y, Osamu U, Satoshi F, & Tohru O. Epigenetics of Oral Infection and Inflammatory Diseases—DNA Methylation Changes in Infections and Inflammation Diseases. J Oral Biosci 56:105-109, 2014.
- Bailoor D, & Manjunatha BS. Role of Genetic and Epigenetic Factors in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma-A Structured Review. Recent Pat Biomark 5:14–19, 2015.
- Baylin S, & Peter J. Epigenetic Determinants of Cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 6: pii: a019505, 2016.
- Bird AP. CpG-Rich Islands and the Function of DNA Methylation. Nature 321:209-213.1986.
- Bohmann K, Guido H, Uwe R, Christopher P, Berit MM, Peter F, Stephan S, & Karl S. RNA Extraction from Archival Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue: A Comparison of Manual, Semiautomated, and Fully Automated Purification Methods. Clin Chem 55:1719–1727, 2009.
- Carafa V, Dante R, Mariantonietta F, Francesca C, Enrica S, Gebremedhin H, Elina J, Maija LK, Antonello M, & Lucia A. Sirtuin Functions and Modulation: From Chemistry to the Clinic. Clin Epigenetics 8:61, 2016.
- Ceder O, Jan VD, Ericson T, & Hans K. Ribonuclease in Different Types of Saliva from Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Acta Paediatr Scand 74:102-106, 1985.
- Chakraborty J, Jay GR, Jadav RKB, Raghunath C, Aditi C, Keya C, Atul K, Baidehi B, & Debjit DC. Genome-Wide DNA Methylation Profile Identified a Unique Set of Differentially Methylated Immune Genes in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patients in India. Clin Epigenetics 9:1–15, 2017.
- Chen IC, Wei FC, Hsin HH, Pei FC, Ying YS, & Hung CC. Role of SIRT1 in Regulation of Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Metastasis. Mol Cancer 13:1–19, 2014.
- Chen PH, Qaisar M, Gian M, Tai-An C, &Ka-Wo L. Adverse Health Effects of Betel Quid and the Risk

of Oral and Pharyngeal Cancers. Biomed Res Int 2017:1–25, 2017.

- Chiba I. Prevention of Betel Quid Chewers' Oral Cancer in the Asian-Pacific Area. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2: 263-269. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2:263–269, 2001.
- Chiba I, Malsantha M, Yutaka Y, Zaman AZ, Tadashi I, Akira A, Toshiyuki S, Haruhiko K, Chihiro S, & Hiroshi F. Characteristics of Mutations in the P53 Gene of Oral Squamous-Cell Carcinomas Associated with Betel-Quid Chewing in Sri Lanka. Int J Cancer 77:839–842, 1998.
- Cox S, Irina P, Russell VE, & Gary M. High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic Determination of Arecoline in Human Saliva. J Chromatogr A 1032:93–95, 2004.
- Dysvik B, Vasstrand EN, Løvlie R, Elgindi OA, Kross KW, Aarstad HJ, et al. Gene expression profiles of head and neck carcinomas from Sudanese and Norwegian patients reveal common biological pathways regardless of race and lifestyle. Clin Cancer Res 12:1109-20, 2006.
- Esteller M. Cancer Epigenomics: DNA Methylomes and Histone-Modification Maps. Nat Rev Genet 8:286–298, 2007.
- Esteller M, Paul C, Stephen B, & James H. A Gene Hypermethylation Profile of Human Cancer. Cancer Res 61:3225-3229, 2001.
- Ferlay J, Ferlay S, Rajesh D, & Sultan E. Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: Sources, Methods and Major Patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 136:E3593-86, 2015.
- Frazzi R, Eleonora Z, Mariaelena P, Ione T, Riccardo V, Luca B, & Francesco M. Methylation Changes of SIRT1, KLF4, DAPK1, and SPG20 in B-Lymphocytes Derived from Follicular and Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. Leuk Res 57:89-96, 2017.
- Frazzi R, Eleonora Z, Mariaelena P, Ione T, Riccardo V, & Francesco Me. Abstract 4451: Different Patterns of SIRT1, KLF4, DAPK1 and SPG20 Methylation in B Lymphocytes Correlate with the Clinical Parameters of Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes. Cancer Res 76:4451, 2016.

Lisbosa LCF, Gigek CO, Silva PNO, Leal MF, Lima EM, Calcagno DQ, Assumpcao PP, Burbano RR,

Smith M de AC. Protein expression and promoter methylation of SIRT1 and 3 in gastric cancer. Dig Liver Dis (print)

- González R, García C, Sánchez-Pérez Y, & Granados-García M. DNA Methylation in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Molecular Mechanisms and Clinical Implications. Oral Dis 17:771–778, 2011.
- Gray S, & The BT. Histone Acetylation/Deacetylation and Cancer: An "Open" and "Shut" Case? Curr Mol Med 1:401-429, 2001.
- Guha N, Warnakulasuriya S, Jelle V, & Kurt Straif. Betel Quid Chewing and the Risk of Oral and Oropharyngeal Cancers: A Meta-Analysis with Implications for Cancer Control. Int J Cancer 135:1433-1443, 2014.
- Huang YK, Bou-Yu P, Chia-Yo W, Chien S, Hui-Chen W, & Hung-Cheng L. DNA Methylation of PAX1 as a Biomarker for Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Clin Oral Investig 18:801-808, 2013.
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Betel-quid and areca-nut chewing and some areca-nut-derived nitrosamines. IARC Monographs on Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Human. IARC Lyon 85:1–334, 2004.
- Islam S, Muthumala M, Matsuoka H, Uehara O, Kuramitsu Y, Chiba I, & Abiko Y. How Each Component of Betel Quid Is Involved in Oral Carcinogenesis: Mutual Interactions and Synergistic Effects with Other Carcinogens---a Review Article. Curr Oncol Rep 21:53, 2019a.
- Islam S, Abiko Y, Uehara O, & Chiba I. 2019. Sirtuin 1 and Oral Cancer (Review). Oncol Lett 17:729–738, 2019b.
- Kang YY, Fu Li S, Ying Z, & Zhe W. SIRT1 Acts as a Potential Tumor Suppressor in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. J Chin Med Assoc 81:416–422, 2018.
- Katsaros D, Sochirca O, Lu L, Yu H, & Rigault de la L. Hypermethylation of Let-7a-3 in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Is Associated with Low Insulin-like Growth Factor-II Expression and Favorable Prognosis. Cancer Res 67:10117–10122, 2007.

- Kaur J, Semra D, Satyendra T, Muzafar M, Shahnaz B, Joseph AC, & Ranju R. Promoter Hypermethylation in Indian Primary Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Int J Cancer 127:2367–2373, 2010.
- Khan I, Neeraj K, Ila P, Sivakrishna N, and Paturu K. Activation of TGF-β Pathway by Areca Nut Constituents: A Possible Cause of Oral Submucous Fibrosis. PLoS ONE 7:1–12, 2012.
- Kramer I, Pindborg I, Bezroukov V, & Infirri J. World Health Organization Guide to Epidemiology and Diagnosis of Oral Mucosal Diseases and Conditions. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 6:1–24, 1978.
- Lee CH, Albert MSK, Warnakulasuriya S, Yin BL, Zain SRB, Ibrahim SO, Liu ZW, Li WH, Zhang SS, Utomo KB, Rajapakse PS, Warusavithana SA, Razak IA, Abdullah N, Shrestha P, Kwan AJ, Shieh TY, Chen MK, & Ko YC. Intercountry Prevalences and Practices of Betel-Quid Use in South, Southeast, and Eastern Asia Regions and Associated Oral Preneoplastic Disorders: An International Collaborative Study by Asian Betel-Quid Consortium of South and East Asia. Int J Cancer 129:1741–1751, 2011.
- Lee KWK. & Pausova Z. Cigarette Smoking and DNA Methylation. Front Genet 4:1–11, 2013.
- Li S, Chunhao L, Ryu HH, Lim SH, Jang WY, & Jung S. Bacitracin Inhibits the Migration of U87-MG Glioma Cells via Interferences of the Integrin Outside-in Signaling Pathway. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 59:106–116, 2016.
- Livak KJ, & Thomas DS. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method. Methods 25:402–408, 2001.
- Loscalzo J, & Handy D. Epigenetic Modifications: Basic Mechanisms and Role in Cardiovascular Disease (2013 Grover Conference Series). Circulation 4:169–174, 2014.
- Lunde ML, Warnakulasuriya S, Sand L, Hirsch JM, Vasstrand EN, & Ibrahim SO. Gene expression analysis by cDNA microarray in oral cancers from two Western populations. Anticancer Res

30:1083-91, 2010.

- Meng W, Qingjie X, Lanyan W, Sixiu C, Xin H, Lin Z, Qinghong G, & Hongmei Z. Downregulation of TGF-Beta Receptor Types II and III in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Oral Carcinoma-Associated Fibroblasts. BMC Cancer 11:88, 2011.
- Murray M, Rushworth S, Zaitseva L, Bowles K, & MacEwan D. Attenuation of Dexamethasone-Induced Cell Death in Multiple Myeloma Is Mediated by MiR-125b Expression. Cell Cycle 12:2144-2153, 2013.
- Nair U, Bartsch H, & Nair J. Alert for an Epidemic of Oral Cancer Due to Use of the Betel Quid Substitutes Gutkha and Pan Masala: A Review of Agents and Causative Mechanisms. Mutagenesis 19:251–262, 2004.
- Oliveira G, & Carolina. SIRT1, IGFBP-3 and CAV1 Promoter DNA Methylation in Aging. Transl Med 4:133, 2014.
- Pant I, Girish RS, & Kondaiah P. Role of Areca Nut Induced JNK/ATF2/Jun Axis in the Activation of TGF-β Pathway in Precancerous Oral Submucous Fibrosis. Sci Rep 6:1–15, 2016.

Petti S. Lifestyle Risk Factors for Oral Cancer. Oral Oncol 45:340-350, 2008.

- Saikia R. Schneeweiss, and Rajesh Sharan. Arecoline-Induced Changes of Poly-ADP-Ribosylation of Cellular Proteins and Its Influence on Chromatin Organization. Cancer Lett 139:59-65, 1999.
- Sebastian C, Frederick S, Haigis M, & Raul M. From Sirtuin Biology to Human Diseases: An Update. J Biol Chem 287:42444-42552, 2012.
- Shridhar K, Gagandeep KW, Aggarwal A, Smriti G, Geetha AV, Prabhakaran D, Preet KD, & Preetha R. DNA Methylation Markers for Oral Pre-Cancer Progression: A Critical Review. Oral Oncol 53:1–9, 2016.
- Sundqvist K, Liu Y, Erhardt P, Nair J, Bartsch H, & Grafström R. Areca-Nut Toxicity in Cultured Human Buccal Epithelial Cells. IARC Sci Publ 105:281–285, 1991.

- Takai R, Uehara O, Harada F, Utsunomiya M, Chujo T, Yoshida K, Sato J, Nishimura M, Chiba I, & Abiko Y. DNA Hypermethylation of Extracellular Matrix-Related Genes in Human Periodontal Fibroblasts Induced by Stimulation for a Prolonged Period with Lipopolysaccharide Derived from Porphyromonas gingivalis. J Periodontal Res 51:508–517, 2016.
- Takuma T, Kaoru K, Chiba I, Abiko Y, Arakawa T, Muthumala M, Kurashige Y, Kaku T, Takeshima M, Nagayasu H, Saitoh M, and Shibata T. High Frequency of Hypermethylation of P14, P15, and P16 in Oral Pre-Cancerous Lesions Associated with Betel-Quid Chewing in Sri Lanka. J Oral Pathol Med 37:475–479, 2010.
- Theda C, Seo HH, Anna C, Yuk L, Pamela L, & Jeffrey C. Quantitation of the Cellular Content of Saliva and Buccal Swab Samples. Sci Rep 8:6944, 2018.
- Uehara O, Kousuke Takimoto, Morikawa T, Harada F, Takai R, Adhikari BR, Itasu R, Nakamura T, Yoshida K, Matsuoka H, Nagayasu H, Saito I, Muthumala M, Chiba I, & Abiko Y. Upregulated Expression of MMP-9 in Gingival Epithelial Cells Induced by Prolonged Stimulation with Arecoline. Oncol Lett 14:1186–1192, 2017.
- Volkmann I, Regalla K, Nils P, Jan F, Seema D, Angelika H, Sandor B, Robert G, Achim L, Lutz H, & Thomas T. MicroRNA-Mediated Epigenetic Silencing of Sirtuin1 Contributes to Impaired Angiogenic Responses. Cir Res 113:997-1003, 2013.
- Wang RH, Kundan S, Cuiling L, Hyun-Seok , Liu C, Cuiying X, Sangsoo K, Xiaoling X, Yin Z, Beverly C, Rong J, Zhi-Ming Z, Ettore A, Xin W, Thomas R, & Chu-Xia D. Impaired DNA Damage Response, Genome Instability, and Tumorigenesis in SIRT1 Mutant Mice. Cancer Cell 14:312-323, 2008.
- Wang TH, Shih MH, Yin HS, & Tzong MS. Association of Smoking, Alcohol Use, and Betel Quid Chewing with Epigenetic Aberrations in Cancers. Int J Mol Sci 18: pii: E1210, 2017.
- Warnakulasuriya S. Areca Nut Use: An Independent Risk Factor for Oral Cancer. BMJ 324:799–800, 2002.

- Xiao J, Wei-wen J, Norihiko O, & Minoru T. Expression of Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-beta 1 and Its Type I and Type II Receptors in Carcinoma and Leukoplakia of the Tongue: Immunohistochemical and Immunoelectron Microscopic Studies. Oral Med Patho 4:55–61, 2008.
- Yang CC, Cheng HW, Chi-Feng C, Chien-Ping H, Micah S, Hsiao-Shan L, Chih-Shun T, & Shou-Yen K. DNA Methylation Confers Clinical Potential to Predict the Oral Cancer Prognosis. Clin Res Trials 4: DOI: 10.15761/CRT.1000232, 2018.
- Zain RB, Ikeda N, Gupta PC, Warnakulasuriya van Wyk SC, Shrestha P, & Axéll T. Oral Mucosal Lesions Associated with Betel Quid, Areca Nut and Tobacco Chewing Habits: Consensus from a Workshop Held in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, November 25-27, 1996. J Oral Pathol Med 28:1–4, 1996.

TABLES

Table 1. Primer sequences used in sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) methylation levels analysis

| Gene | Accession ID | Primers (5' - 3') | Product size (bp) | Tm (°C) | Reference |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| | | MF: GGCGAATTTGGTTGTATTATACG | 110 bp | | |
| | NM_012238 | MR: GAACGAAAACTATTACGTCTACCG | 110 0p | | |
| SIRT1 | (transcript variant 1) | UF: GGGGTGAATTTGGTTGTATTATATG | 112 hr | 62.2 | Oliveria <i>et al.</i> , 2014 |
| | | UR: AAACAAAAACTATTACATCTACCACT | 112 <i>bp</i> | | |

MF: methylated forward; MR: methylated reverse; UF: unmethylated forward; UR: unmethylated reverse; bp: base pair

Table 2. Primer sequences used in sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) gene expression levels analysis

| Gene | Forward primer | Reverse primer | Reference |
|-------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| SIRT1 | GCGATTGGGTACCGAGATAA | TTGCATGTGAGGCTCTATCC | Murray et al., 2013 |
| GAPDH | GTGAAGGTCGGAGTCAAC | GTTGAGGTCAATGAAGGG | Li et al., 2016 |

| | Control (non-chewer) | Betel quid chewer | Total |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Samples, N (%) | 45 (64.2) | 25 (35.7) | 70 (100) |
| Male, N (%) | 9 (20) | 5 (20) | 14 (20) |
| Female, N (%) | 36 (80) | 20 (80) | 56 (80) |
| Age (mean ± SD) | 35.5 ± 13.6 | 39.5 ± 12.0 | |
| Duration of betel quid chewing habits | | | |
| \geq 6-months, N (%) | - | 6 (24) | |
| 1-10 years, N (%) | - | 14 (56) | 25 (35.7) |
| > 10-years, N (%) | - | 5 (20) | |
| Chewing years (mean \pm SD) | | 7.3 ± 10.3 | |
| SIRT1 DNA methylation level (mean \pm SD) | 4.0 ± 4.6 | 16.5 ± 23.7 | |

Table 3: Characteristics of human participants and buccal smear samples

NOTE: Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD)

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Age | .219 | .134 | .183 | 1.630 | .108 |
| Sex (Male: 1, Female: 2) | 3.107 | 4.314 | .080 | .720 | .474 |
| Betel quid chewers vs non-chewers | 11.633 | 3.645 | .358 | 3.192 | .002 |

Table 4: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level (total buccal smear samples)

NOTE: Values in bold represent statistical significance (p < 0.05).

| | Group 1 | Group 2 | Total |
|---|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| Samples, N (%) | 7 (28) | 18 (72) | 25 (100) |
| Male, N (%) | 1 (4) | 4 (16) | 5 (20) |
| Female, N (%) | 6 (24) | 14 (56) | 20 (80) |
| Total betel quid chewing (mean \pm SD) | 2673 ± 3414.8 | 5581.6 ± 7846.2 | |
| SIRT1 DNA methylation level (mean \pm SD) | 7.0 ± 4.9 | 20.3 ± 27.0 | |

Table 5: Characteristics of betel quid components in smear samples of betel quid chewers

NOTE: Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD)

NOTE: Group 1, betel leaf and areca nut; Group 2; betel leaf, areca nut, and slaked lime

Table 6: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level on betel quid components

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Group 1 vs Group 2 | 10.618 | 10.342 | .205 | 1.027 | .316 |
| Quid number | .001 | .001 | .354 | 1.771 | .091 |

Group 1, betel leaf and areca nut; Group 2, betel leaf, areca nut, and slaked lime

 Table 7: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level (betel quid chewer samples)

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Age | .189 | .396 | .095 | .478 | .638 |
| Sex (Male: 1, Female: 2) | 9.529 | 9.782 | .164 | .974 | .341 |
| Chewing years | 1.280 | .455 | .558 | 2.814 | .010 |

NOTE: Values in bold represent statistical significance (p < 0.05).

| | BQ chewers OSCC | BQ non-chewers OSCC | Healthy controls | Total |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | Sri Lankan patients | Japanese pa | tients | |
| Samples, N (%) | 12 (25.5) | 22 (46.8) | 13 (27.7) | 47 (100) |
| Male, N (%) | 9 (75) | 9 (40.9) | 6 (46.2) | 24 (51.1) |
| Female, N (%) | 3 (25) | 13 (59.1) | 7 (53.8) | 23 (48.9) |
| Age | 56.3 ± 15.9 | 61.2 ± 15.2 | 58.5 ± 17.8 | |
| SIRT1 DNA methylation level | 41.4 ± 13.4 | 22.2 ± 14.3 | 11.2 ± 6.6 | |

Table 8: Characteristics of patients and tissue samples

NOTE: data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

BQ: betel quid; OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma.

Table 9: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level (BQ chewers OSCC vs healthy controls)

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Analysis I | | | | | |
| Age | .167 | .138 | .150 | 1.212 | .239 |
| Sex (Male: 1, Female: 2) | 6.228 | 4.783 | .169 | 1.302 | .207 |
| BQ chewers OSCC vs healthy controls | 28.721 | 4.349 | .793 | 6.604 | .000 |

NOTE: Values in bold represent statistical significance (p < 0.05).

BQ: betel quid; OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|---|--------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Analysis II | | | | | |
| Age | .169 | .124 | .208 | 1.362 | .183 |
| Sex | 6.643 | 3.967 | .255 | 1.675 | .104 |
| BQ non-chewers OSCC vs healthy controls | 11.837 | 4.076 | .444 | 2.904 | .007 |

Table 10: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level (BQ non-chewers OSCC vs healthy controls)

NOTE: Values in bold represent statistical significance (p < 0.05).

BQ: betel quid; OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma

_

Table 11: Multivariable regression analysis of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) DNA methylation level (BQ chewers OSCC vs BQ non-chewers OSCC)

| Variables | В | Standard error | Beta | t | <i>p</i> -value |
|--|--------|----------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Analysis III | | | | | |
| Age | .055 | .161 | .051 | .340 | .736 |
| Sex | 6.577 | 5.101 | .201 | 1.289 | .207 |
| BQ chewers OSCC vs BQ non-chewers OSCC | 17.132 | 5.392 | .501 | 3.177 | .003 |

NOTE: Values in bold represent statistical significance (p < 0.05).

BQ: betel quid; OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma

FIGURES



Figure 1. The major ingredients of betel quid and regions where betel quid chewing is prevalent (modification from Islam *et al.*, 2019b). (A) the major ingredients of betel quid include the following: (a) betel leaf; (b) areca nut; (c) slaked lime, most commonly prepared in paste form; and (d) tobacco components (sun-dried or fermented). (b) The red areas on the map depict regions where betel quid chewing is prevalent in South Asia and the South Pacific Islands. The blue colored-areas represent the countries comprising habitual betel quid chewers originating from the Indian subcontinent; the countries include United Kingdom (mainly at Yorkshire, Birmingham, Leicester, East, and West London), South Africa (Durban and Johannesburg), Australia and New Zealand.



Figure 2. Flow chart of cell culture. Human gingival epithelium progenitors (HGEPs), cells were treated with arecoline at a concentration of 50 μ g/mL. The culture media was replaced every 3 days, alternating media with and without arecoline for 30 days. Untreated samples were used as controls. DDW, double-distilled water; ARE, arecoline



Figure 3. Designing and identification of CpG island around the promoter region of the *sirtuin 1* (*SIRT1*) gene. *SIRT1* has three transcription variants. Transcription variants 1 (NM_O12238) has 9 exons. The CpG islands were located close to promoter areas at the transcription start sites of *SIRT1*. The product is approximately 100 base pairs in length.



Figure 4. Buccal smear samples collection and distribution. (a) presence of oral mucosal lesions, systemic diseases, and habits of smoking and drinking subjects were eliminated followed by inclusion and exclusion criterions. (b) buccal smear samples of betel quid chewers and non-chewers were obtained from Sri Lankan participants by asking questionnaires.



Figure 5. Tissue samples collection and distribution. Paraffin-embedded tissues samples of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) grouped according to the habits of patients. The OSCC of BQ chewers tissue samples obtained from Sri Lankan patients and OSCC of non-chewers were from Japanese patients. The controls are defined as tissues from healthy patients without the presence of oral lesions.



Figure 6. (a) DNA methylation levels of *sirtuin 1* (*SIRT1*) in human gingival epithelial progenitors (HGEPs) cells. The levels of *SIRT1* DNA methylation in cells treated with 50 μ g/mL arecoline were significantly increased compared to that in control cells. (b) *SIRT1* mRNA expression levels in HGEPs cells. The expression levels of *SIRT1* mRNA in cells treated with arecoline at 50 μ g/mL was significantly decreased compared to that in control cells. A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (n=4).



Figure 7. (a) sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) protein production in human gingival epithelial progenitors (HGEPs) cells. The production of SIRT1 protein (bands of 120 kDa) was reduced by arecoline treatment compared to controls. As a control, the levels of GAPDH (bands of 37 kDa) were similar in all cells. (b) The SIRT1/GAPDH protein expression ratio in HGEPs cells. The ratio of intensities of SIRT1 to GAPDH in control cells was considered to be 100%. The ratio of intensities of SIRT1/GAPDH in control cells and arecoline-treated cells was $100\pm16.2\%$ and $40.1\pm3.3\%$, respectively. The SIRT1 protein levels were reduced by arecoline treatment. A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (n=4). CTL, control; ARE, arecoline.



Figure 8. (A) *sirtuin 1* (*SIRT1*) DNA methylation levels in buccal smear samples of betel quid chewers and non-chewers. No significant correlation was observed in terms of age and gender to groups of betel quid chewers and non-chewers (a,b). Betel quid chewing habit was the only significant predictor of *SIRT1* DNA methylation level (c). (B) *SIRT1* DNA methylation levels in buccal smear samples of betel quid chewers. The chewing years of betel quid were significantly correlated to the levels of *SIRT1* DNA methylation level (c). A value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.



Figure 9. (A) *sirtuin 1* (*SIRT1*) DNA methylation levels in controls and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) of betel quid (BQ) chewers. *SIRT1* was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from BQ chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects. (B) *SIRT1* DNA methylation levels in controls and OSCC of non-chewers. *SIRT1* was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples of OSCC from non-chewers than in oral mucosa from healthy control subjects. (C) *SIRT1* DNA methylation levels in oral OSCC of non-chewers and OSCC of BQ chewers. *SIRT1* was significantly hypermethylated in tissue samples in oral OSCC of non-chewers than in that of OSCC of non-chewers. A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.